

claims and thus diminishes the true scope and nature of the Applicants invention. Applicants have provided more than adequate disclosure in the specification to demonstrate their accomplishment in arriving at the invention as defined by Claim 1 and assert that drastically limiting the invention by the election requirement does not permit the Applicants to define the invention in their own terms and in consonance with the breadth of invention to which they are entitled. Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 1 is generic to all claims and properly defines the disclosed invention. Further, Applicants respectfully assert that generic Claim 1 can be searched without undue hardship and therefore request that if Claim 1 is found to be allowable for species CG β , then a follow-on examination of the remaining species be conducted. If the Examiner then finds Claim 1 to be allowable for all species, it is respectfully requested that all claims then be determined also allowable.

Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for examination on the merits. Early notification of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

PIPER RUDNICK LLP



Steven B. Kelber
Registration No. 30,073
Attorney of Record

1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-2412
Telephone No. (202) 861-3900
Facsimile No. (202) 223-2085

Perry E. Van Over
Registration No. 42,197